## ORIGINAL



47

1

3

4

5

6 7

8

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

2526

27

28

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

2008 JUN -9 P 3 20

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL

MIKE GLEASON
CHAIRMAN
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
COMMISSIONER
GARY PIERCE
COMMISSIONER
JEFF HATCH-MILLER
COMMISSIONER
KRISTIN K. MAYES
COMMISSIONER

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

JUN -9 2008

DOCKETED BY

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF PICACHO PEAK WATER CO., INC., FOR A RATE INCREASE

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF PICACHO PEAK WATER CO., INC., FOR AUTHORITY TO INCUR DEBT TO FINANCE WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS Docket No. W-02351A-07-0319 Docket No. W-02351A-07-0686

**COMMENTS ON STAFF REPORT** 

Picacho Peak Water Company, Inc. ("Company") hereby files comments on Staff Report for Picacho Peak Water Co., Inc. for a Permanent Rate Increase and for Authority to Incur Debt dated May 21, 2008 ("Staff Report").

#### 1.0 PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

The Company has 14 customers and is seeking to borrow \$150,000 from the Water Infrastructure Finance Authority ("WIFA") to pay for nitrate treatment facilities.

The most cost-effective way to remove the nitrate is to install reverse osmosis equipment at the point of use for the residential and small commercial customers. This will cost approximately \$5,000, or 3.33% of the total loan.

Meanwhile, the large commercial water users – Bowlin's Travel Center and the RV Park – will require large onsite reverse osmosis treatment plants. The plant for the restaurant will cost approximately \$30,000. The plant for the RV Park, which has hundreds of lots, will cost approximately \$90,000. WIFA requested that the Company allocate another \$25,000 for engineering, installation, and cost-overruns. Hence, **96.66%** of the necessary financing will be driven by the large commercial users' needs.

While the Staff Report spreads the costs more evenly between the small and large users, the Company believes it is entirely appropriate for the large commercial cost drivers to shoulder more of the financial burden. Put another way, the Company does not believe its residential and small commercial customers should have to subsidize the large commercial customers. Therefore, the Company's revised rates, set forth in Exhibit 1, appropriately require the large commercial customers to carry the bulk of the increase.

#### 2.0 RATES

#### 2.1 Residential Rates

Due to the Company's concern about the impact of Staff's proposed rates on the residential customers, it is proposing a second set of rates that will generate Staff's proposed revenue requirement, while still maintaining reasonable increases for the residential users. Current rates for the 5 residential users generate approximately \$4,961

2 3

1

4

5 6

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

24

25

26 27

28

in revenue, and Staff has proposed \$13,890 for this class, which will result in nearly a 180% annual increase.

The Company proposes a more reasonable increase of 39% (\$6,910 in revenue from this class) over the current revenue level for these customers. This shifts the service cost more appropriately to the larger commercial users.

Because Staff proposed different commodity rates and tiers for the residential users versus the commercial, the Company will continue this methodology, but propose different tier breaks and rates to facilitate maintaining lower rates for the residential customers. The Company proposes a monthly minimum rate of \$20, a 33% increase from the current monthly minimum as opposed to Staff's proposed increase of 238%, or \$50.70, for this class of users. The Company further proposes commodity rates of \$2.50 per thousand for the first 5,000 gallons, \$3.50 per thousand for the next 10,000 gallons, and \$4.90 per thousand for all gallons per thousand in excess of 15,000.

#### 2.2 **Small Commercial**

Current rates for the 8 small commercial (3/4") users generate approximately \$19,204 in revenue, and Staff has proposed \$34,581 for this class, which is an increase of 80% in revenue for the year. The Company now proposes an increase of 89% (\$36,355 in revenue from this class) over the current revenue level.

Staff has proposed to decrease the monthly minimum for this class by over 40% from \$85 per month to \$50.70. The Company proposes a monthly minimum rate of \$80, a decrease of less than 6% from the current monthly minimum. In addition, Staff proposes only 2 tiers, with the break at 10,000 gallons for this class. The Company generally

agrees with Staff's approach, but proposes that the tier break point be 25,000 gallons for this class.

#### 2.3 Large Commercial

Current rates for the large commercial (2") customer generate approximately \$7,663 in revenue. Staff has proposed \$19,470 for this class. The Company proposes a 198% increase (\$22,860 in revenue) over the current revenue level for this customer.

Staff has proposed to increase the monthly minimum for this class by 198% from \$85 per month to \$253.50. The Company proposes a monthly minimum rate of \$500, a 488% increase from the current monthly minimum.

Staff proposes only 2 tiers, with the break at 50,000 gallons for this class. The Company generally agrees with Staff's approach, but proposes the break point be decreased to 25,000 for this class so that all commercial users pay higher amounts due to the demands (and expense) large water users place on this system. This revenue allocation approach is entirely appropriate in this case because the RV Park served by the 2-inch meter has an average of 200 units per month, maybe more, that use water from the system, which calculates to less than \$10 per month per unit. (\$22,860/12 months = \$1,905/200 RV Park units = \$9.55).

Therefore, the Company requests that the court adopt the revised rates proposed by the Company set forth herein and in Exhibit 1.

#### 3.0 Non-Rate Issues

#### 3.1 Meter Installations

Staff recommends that the Company install and maintain operable metering devices for the well and all customers within 30 days after the decisions in this matter is issued. The Company agrees with this recommendation, and in fact has already ordered the meters to be installed by a contractor. Nonetheless, to give the Company enough time to install the meters if problems arise, the Company asks for a 90-day deadline.

#### 3.2 Distribution System Data

Staff recommends that within 180 days after the Decision, the Company must file documentation showing data relating to the distribution system. Approximately 10 years ago, a fire destroyed most company records, including system maps and other distribution system records. The cost to create this data and map is approximately \$30,000 to \$60,000. While the Company would like to create this data and map, it would have to borrow the money to complete this task. Knowing that adding another \$60,000 of debt would make the rates incredibly burdensome, the Company requests that this Staff recommendation not be adopted.

#### 3.3 Public Notice

The Staff Report mentions that the Company did not file affirmation that it gave public notice for the finance application. On May 30, 2008, the Company filed this proof of notice.

### 3.4 ADEQ Compliance

The Engineering Report details water quality monitoring and compliance matters that the Company either has, or is trying to, resolve. For example, the Engineering Report explains that the Company is not delivering water that meets the water quality standards for nitrates, which is why the Company is seeking the financing to purchase equipment to treat the water for nitrates. The Company is monitoring nitrate levels quarterly. Further, when testing indicated that the coliform MCL had been exceeded, the Company immediately treated the system with an approved method and followed all requirements for subsequent testing and reporting.

#### 4.0 CONCLUSION

The Company requests that the court recommend an order consistent with the Staff Report as modified by the Company's comments herein.

**MOYES SELLERS & SIMS** 

Steve Mare

Steve Wene

Attorneys for Picacho Peak Water Co.

Original and 15 copies of the foregoing filed this 9<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2008, with:

Docket Control Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007

2	Copy of the foregoing mailed this 9 <sup>th</sup> day of June, 2008, to:
3	Janice Alward, Chief Counsel
4	Legal Division
5	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street
6	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
7	Ernest Johnson, Director
8	Utilities Division Arizona Corporation Commission
9	1200 West Washington Street
10	Phoenix, Arizon 85007
11	Kevin Torrey
12	Attorney, Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission
13	1200 West Washington Street
14	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
15	Dennis Rogers
16	Utilities Division Arizona Corporation Commission
17	1200 West Washington Street
18	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
19	Homasell Harlast
20	Donnelly Herbert
21	
22	
23	

## EXHIBIT 1

# PICACHO PEAK WATER COMPANY, INC. PROPOSED REBUTTAL CUSTOMER RATES IN RESPONSE TO STAFF REPORT FILED MAY 21, 2008

Customer Type		<b>Current</b> Rates	-	Staff Proposed		Company Ruttal	% Change From Staff	% Change From Current	Staff Change From current
Residential (5) Commercial (8) 2" Commercial (1)	↔	4,960.93 19,204.15 7,663.26	↔	13,889.76 34,580.83 19,470.23	↔	6,909.51 36,354.61 22,860.23	-50.25% 5.13% 17.41%	39.28% 89.31% 198.31%	179.98% 80.07% 154.07%
Total Bill Count	↔	31,828.34	₩	67,940.82	<del>v)</del>	66,124.35			
Staff Proposed Revenue Requirement	ent		↔	66,400.00	₩	66,400.00			
Rates	•	r	•	07.03	6	ć	70 EE 0/2	33 33%	%UU 866
Residential (5)	₽	15.00	<del>^</del>	20.70	<del>0</del>	20.00	-00.33 %		20.00.
Commodity Kates - Per 1,000 gain All Gallons Tier 1 - 1 to 3000 gallons Tier 2 - 3001 to 10000 gallons Tier 3 - over 10000 gallons Tier 1 - 1 to 5000 gallons Tier 2 - 5001 to 15000 gallons Tier 2 - 5001 to 15000 gallons	↔	3.40	₩	4.83 7.25 8.69	↔	2.50 3.50 4.90			
Commercial (8)	↔	85.00	↔	50.70	↔	80.00	27.79%	-5.88%	-40.35%
Commodity Kates - Fer 1,000 gain All Gallons Tier 1 - 1 to 10000 gallons Tier 2 - over 10000 gallons Tier 1 - 1 to 25000 gallons Tier 2 - over 25000 gallons	↔	3.40	↔	7.25 8.69	<b>↔</b>	7.25 8.69			
2" Commercial (1)	₩	85.00	↔	253.50	↔	500.00	97.24%	488.24%	198.24%
Commodity Kates - Per 1,000 gal All Gallons Tier 1 - 1 to 50000 gallons Tier 2 - over 50000 gallons Tier 1 - 1 to 25000 gallons Tier 2 - over 25000 gallons	↔	3.40	↔	7.25 8.69	<b>↔</b>	7.25 8.69			